

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,040	11/18	3/2003	Tony K. Ngai	-X-1363 US 7436		
24309 XILINX, INC	7590	01/17/2007		. EXAMINER		
ATTN: LEGA	L DEPART	MENT	•	TABONE JR, JOHN J		
2100 LOGIC DR SAN JOSE, CA 95124				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2138		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			·	01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	10/717,040	NGAI ET AL.					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner 002	Art Unit					
		John J. Tabone, Jr. 2015/07	2138					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 21 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛛	. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	$\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Exten	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
	<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almonto Glad amagadan					
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•				
7. 🔼	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:			•				
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> .							
AFFII	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 15, 25, and 34 will necessitate a search and further consideration of the Applicant's invention. It appears to the Examiner that in view of the amendments suggested by the Applicant, there may be merit to the proposed amendments to the claims, however, any such decision can not be reached until further searching is performed by the Examiner. Further, the arguments presented for claim 11 (identified as claim 25 and 35 rejection) are not persuasive and, therefore, the rejection is maintained. Therefore, the Examiner WILL NOT enter any of the proposed amendments.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100